RFU SHORT JUDGMENT FORM



Match	Wasps	Vs	Northampton
Club's Level	Level 1	Competition	Gallagher Premiership
Date of Match	05/01/2020	Match Venue	Ricoh Arena

Particulars of Offence					
Player's Surname	Collins	Date of Birth	03/07/1994		
Forename(s)	Thomas George Peter	Plea	Admitted Not Admitted		
Club name	Northampton	RFU ID No.	997950		
Type of Offence	Red Card				
Law 9 Offence	9.17 - Tackling the jumper in the air				
Sanction	2 week playing suspension				

Hearing Details					
Hearing Date	08/01/2020	Hearing venue	Doubletree Hilton, Coventry		
Chairmen/SJO	Matthew Weaver	Panel Member 1	Ruth Middleton		
Panel Member 2	Mitch Read	Panel Secretary	Rebecca Morgan		
Appearance Player	Yes No	Appearance Club	Yes No		

Player's Representative(s):	Other attendees:
Maurice Hartery (Team Manager)	David Barnes (RFU)

List of documents/materials provided to player in advance of hearing:

Charge Sheet

Red Card Report from the Referee

E-mail from Maurice Hartery confirming that Player admitted the charge Letter from Maurice Hartery confirming Player's clean disciplinary record Letter from Ali James (Head of Physiotherapy at Wasps) confirming that victim sustained a concusion, remains symptomatic and will commence his graduated return to play once he

becomes symptom free.



Summary of Essential Elements of Citing/Referee/s Report/Footage

The Red Card report describes the incident as follows: "Following a box kick from Northampton 9 from close to there own 22m, N14, Tom Collins chased the kick. Unfortunately when W10 jumped to catch the ball Mr Collins, who had his eyes on the ball at all times ran underneath Wasps 10 at what I would call high speed, causing W10 to fall very dangerously. My view of this live was not completely clear but following review with TMO and discussion with all of my team and all the potential factors involved, we came to the decision that a red card was appropriate sanction." The video footage accords with the Referee's report. In short, the Player runs into W10 whilst he is in the air, catching a box kick. As a result of the impact, W10 falls onto the Player (landing on his backside), then falls onto the middle to top of his back and finally his head makes contact with the floor in a "whiplash" manner.



Essential Elements of Other Evidence (e.g. medical reports) Wasps have confirmed that W10 suffered a concussion and will return to play in accordance with the graduated return to play protocol. No further details about the concussion have been provided. There is no suggestion that W10's absence from playing will be significant (albeit it seems likely that he will miss the upcoming fixture).



Summary of Player's Evidence				
The Player admitted the charge and admitted his recklessness. He also accepted that he had a duty of care to W10. That said, he was clear that this was an error of judgment on his behalf and that he did not intend to tackle W10 in the air or to cause any injury.				



Findings of Fact

The Panel readily accepted that the Player did not intend to commit an act of foul play. He made an error of judgment whilst keeping his eye on the ball at all times. The incident was reckless and caused W10 to fall initially onto his backside, landing directly on N14 which acted as a pivot for W10 to then fall onto his back, triggering his head to make contact with the ground. The Player apologised to W10 and W10 accepted the apology with no hesitation (via social media) and remained contrite at the hearing.



Decision				
Breach admitted	✓ Proven	Not Proven	Other Disposal (please state below)	

SANCTIONING PROCESS



Assessment of Seriousness					
Assessment of intent - Ref 19.11.8					
PLEASE TICK APPROPRIATE BOX	19.11.8(a) Intentional/deliberate		19.11.8(b) Reckless	\checkmark	
Reasons for finding as to intent:					
The Player was focused on the ball at all times and did not intend to tackle W10 in the air					
Gravity of player's actions - Reg 19.11.8(c)					
The player made contact with W10 in th	ne air, causing him to fall to	the gro	ound		



Nature of actions - Reg 19.11.8(d)
Contact in the air
Existence of provocation - Reg 19.11.8(e)
N/A
Whether player retaliated - Reg 19.11.8(f)
N/A
Self-defence - Reg 19.11.8(g)
N/A
Effect on victim - Reg 19.11.8(h)
W10 was removed from the game, having suffered a concussion
Effect on match - Reg 19.11.8(i)
None - Northampton were leading by 4 points when the incident occurred and went on to win the game by 4 points.
Vulnerability of victim - Reg 19.11.8(j)
W10 was in the air at the time of impact
Level of participation/premeditation - Reg 19.11.8(k)
None



Conduct completed/attempted - R	eg 19.11.8(l)			
Completed				
Other feetures of player's conduct	- Dog 10 11 9(m)			
Other features of player's conduct	- keg 19.11.8(m)			
None				
	Assessment of Serior	usness Continue	d 	
Entry point	M: 1	747]	r 1*	747 1
Low-end Weeks	Mid-range	<u>Weeks</u>	Top-end*	<u>Weeks</u>
<u>Y</u> 4				
*If Top End, the JO or Pane	_	_		-
and the maximum sancti In making this assessm	-		0 0 1	
	·		_	
Reasons for selecting entry point				
Whilst there was contact between W mandatory mid-range entry point. In	so finding, the Panel follow	lowed the dicta of	Christopher Quinlan (QC in his decision
dated 21/07/2018 in Facey which co- contact with the head (in circumstand	ces where the incident in	Facey was simila	r to this incident in tha	at the victim's
head made contact with the ground a sensibly, accepted that the Panel wa				
Whilst W10 suffered a concussion, he the nature of the contact, the way in				
circumstances of the incident, the Pa				, and an me
Additional	Relevant Off-Field Agg	ravating Factors	s - Reg 19.11.10	
Player's status as an offender of th	e laws of the game - Re	g 19.11.10 (a)		
N/A				
N. d.	······································	-101110(1)		
Need for deterrent to combat a pa	ttern of offending - Rec	19.11.10(b)		
N/A				



Any other off-field aggravating factor that the disciplinary panel considers relevant and appropriate - Reg 19.11.10 (c)	
None	

Number of additional weeks: 0

Relevant Off-Field Mitgating Factors				
Acknowledgement of guilt and timing - Reg 19.11.11(a)	Player's disciplinary record/good character - Reg 19.11.11(b)			
The Player admitted the charge at the earliest possible opportunity	Previous clean record			
Youth and inexperience of player - Reg 19.11.11(c)	Conduct prior to and at hearing - Reg 19.11.11(d)			
The Player is 25	Exemplary			
Remorse and timing of Remorse - Reg 19.11.11(e)	Other off-field mitigation - Reg 19.11.11(f)			
The Player was apologetic				

Number of weeks deducted: 2

Summary of reason for number of weeks deducted:

The Player's clean record, remorse and acknowledgment of guilt all give rise to the maximum mitigation of 50%



Games for meaningful sanctions:	
The Player will miss the following fixtures:	
12.01.2020 v Benetton 08.01.2020 v Lyon	

Sanction

NOTE: PLAYER ORDERED OFF ARE PROVISIONALLY SUSPENDED PENDING THE HEARING OF THEIR CASE, SUCH SUSPENSION SHOULD BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION WHEN SANCTIONING

Total sanction	2 weeks	Sending off sufficient
Sanction commences	05/01/2020	
Sanctions concludes	20/01/2020	
Free to play	21/01/2020	
Final date to lodge appeal	10/01/2020	
Costs (please refer to Reg 19, Appendix 3 for full cost details)	£500	

Signature (JO or Chairman)	Matthew Weaver	Date	09/01/2020
-------------------------------	----------------	------	------------

NOTE: YOU HAVE THE RIGHT OF APPEAL AGAINST THIS DECISION AS SET OUT IN REGULATION 19.12 OF THE DISCIPLINARY REGULATIONS. YOUR ATTENTION IS SPECIFICALLY DRAWN TO THE TIME LIMIT AND DIRECTIONS/REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO AN APPEAL SET OUT IN REGULATION 19.12.9

