# **RFU SHORT JUDGMENT FORM**



Match (home)	Northamptor	n Saints	Vs (away)	Exeter Ch	iefs RFC		
Club's Level	1		Competition	Premiersh	Premiership		
Date of Match	12/11/2023		Match Venue	Northampton Saints			
		Particul	ars of Offence				
Player's Surname	Armstrong		Date of Birth	10/08/199	10/08/1999		
Forename(s)	Niall		Plea	Admitted	Admitted Volume Not Admitted		
Club name	Exeter Chief	s RFC	RFU ID No.	2541820			
Type of Offence	Reckless or	dangerous p	lay				
Law 9 Offence	9.11						
Sanction	3 week suspe	nsion (reduced	d to 2 weeks on com	pletion of the	World Rugby CIP)		
		Hear	ing Details				
Hearing Date	14/11/2023		Hearing venue	On paper			
Chairmen/SJO	Matthew We	aver KC	Panel Member 1	Daniel Gore			
Panel Member 2	Christian Scot	tland-Williams	on Panel Secretary	Rebecca Morgan-Scott			
Appearance Player	Yes	No	Appearance Club	Yes	No 🗸		
Appearance Player	Yes	No	Appearance Club	Yes	No 🗸		
Appearance Player  Player's Representa		No	Appearance Club Other attendees:	Yes	No 🗸		
		No		Yes	No V		
		No		Yes	No 🗸		
				Yes  Conflict raised	No Vo		
Player's Representa	ative(s):	No No conflict raised	Other attendees:				
Player's Representa	ative(s):  Conflict raised	No conflict raised	Other attendees:  Conflict of Interests				
Player's Representa	Conflict raised	No conflict raised	Other attendees:  Conflict of Interests	Conflict raised	No conflict raised		
Player's Representa  Conflict of Interests  List of documents/	Conflict raised  materials provid  Red C.	No conflict raised	Other attendees:  Conflict of Interests  dvance of hearing:	Conflict raised  Video foot	No conflict raised  age Yes  Rugby Head Contact		
Player's Representation  Conflict of Interests  List of documents/  Charge Sheet	Conflict raised  materials provid  Red C.  Citing	No conflict raised ed to player in a	Other attendees:  Conflict of Interests  dvance of hearing:  Player Statement	Conflict raised  Video foot	No conflict raised  age Yes  Rugby Head Contact		
Player's Representation  Conflict of Interests  List of documents/  Charge Sheet  Medical report	Conflict raised  materials provid  Red C  Citing  ow)	No conflict raised ed to player in a	Other attendees:  Conflict of Interests  dvance of hearing:  Player Statement	Conflict raised  Video foot	No conflict raised  age Yes  Rugby Head Contact		
Player's Representation  Conflict of Interests  List of documents/  Charge Sheet  Medical report  Other (Please list bel	Conflict raised  materials provid  Red C  Citing  ow)	No conflict raised ed to player in a	Other attendees:  Conflict of Interests  dvance of hearing:  Player Statement	Conflict raised  Video foot	No conflict raised  age Yes  Rugby Head Contact		
Player's Representation  Conflict of Interests  List of documents/  Charge Sheet  Medical report  Other (Please list bel	Conflict raised  materials provid  Red C  Citing  ow)	No conflict raised ed to player in a	Other attendees:  Conflict of Interests  dvance of hearing:  Player Statement	Conflict raised  Video foot	No conflict raised  age Yes  Rugby Head Contact		



Summary of Essential Elements of Citing/Referee/s Report/Footage
The Referee's Report describes the incident as follows:
I stopped the game due to an injury to NS10. The TMO then alerted me to a foul play review. Upon reviewing the incident on the screen it was agreed by the Team of Four match officials that Ex21 was not clearly off balance and thus he was in control of his jump (a jump he also landed further feeding into the assessment he was in control of the jump), the action to raise his leg was therefore unnatural, as he was not off balance, and thus a reckless act in that it led to Ex21 striking directly to the head with his studs/boot the face of NS10. A red card was shown and the player left the field immediately.
The video footage accords with the Referee's description.



### Essential Elements of Other Evidence (e.g. medical reports)

Matt Lee of Northampton Saints confirmed as follows:
Re: Finn Smith (vs Exeter Chiefs. Sunday 12th November)
• the above player was attended to on the field by Dr Lester (Team Dr) and Nathan Allwork (Physio) following a collision with an opponent.
He reported having taken a boot/studs to the face.
<ul> <li>He was alert &amp; orientated and passed an on-field examination regarding concussion injury and was not suspected to have sustained significant spinal injury.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>FS had superficial abrasions to right chin, nasolabial crease and below eye along with mild epistaxis. These abrasions did not require closure.</li> </ul>
The above abrasions were cleaned post match.
<ul> <li>FS has been reviewed today - he has increased swelling around the abrasions but no symptoms of concussion injury.</li> </ul>
He will continue to be monitored over the course of this week



Summary of Flayer's Evidence
On behalf of the Player, Exeter Chiefs provided written submissions which addressed the incident as follows:
The Player accepts that he committed the act of foul play as charged. Accordingly, these submissions are confined to the question of the appropriate sanction to be imposed. The player inadvertently raised his foot whilst in the process of taking the high ball in mid-air. It was not the Player's intention to make contact with the opposing player. By his plea the Player accepts that his execution and technique were poor leading to a reckless contact with the advancing tackler.



Findings of Fact
The Player admitted the charge. The Panel accepted that this was a reckless not intentional act and noted that Finn Smith only suffered minor abrasions as a result.



		Decision	
Breach admitted	Proven	Not Proven	Other Disposal (please state below)

# **SANCTIONING PROCESS**



Assessment of Seriousness					
Assessment of intent - Ref 19.11.8					
PLEASE TICK APPROPRIATE BOX	19.11.8(a) Intentional		19.11.8(b) Reckless	$\checkmark$	
Reasons for finding as to intent:					
This was not an intentional act					
Nature of actions - Reg 19.11.8(c)					
The Player made contact with his boot	to Finn Smith's face				



Existence of provocation - Reg 19.11.8(d)
None
Whether player retaliated - Reg 19.11.8(e)
N/A
Self-defence - Reg 19.11.8(f)
N/A
Effect on victim - Reg 19.11.8(g)
Minor abrasions
Effect on match - Reg 19.11.8(h)
None
Vulnerability of victim - Reg 19.11.8(i)
N/A
Level of participation/premeditation - Reg 19.11.8(j)
Not premeditated
Conduct completed/attempted - Reg 19.11.8(k)
Completed



Other features of player's conduct - Reg 19.11.8(l)							
None							
F	Assessment of Seri	ousness Continued	l				
Entry point							
<u>Low-end</u> <u>Weeks</u>	<u>Mid-range</u>	<u>Weeks</u>	Top-end*	<u>Weeks</u>			
*If Top End, the JO or Panel should identify, if apropriate, an entry point between the Top End and the maximum sanction and provide the reasons for selecting this entry point, below.  In making the above assessment, the Panel should consider the RFU Practice Note as set out in Appendix 5 to Regulation 19. Significant weight should be given to RFU regulation 19.11.8(a), 19.11.8(h) and 19.11.8(i).  Reasons for selecting entry point:							
	ad. the mandator	rv minimum mid-	range entry point a	applies.			
Given direct contact with the head, the mandatory minimum mid-range entry point applies. There is nothing in this incident to warrant a top-end entry point.							
Relevant Off-Field Mitgating Factors - Reg 19.11.10							
Acknowledgment of the commission & timing - Reg 19.11.10(a)	n of foul Play	Player's disciplina	ary record - Reg 19.1	11.10(b)			
The Player admitted the charge a opportunity	at the earliest	The Player has	a clean disciplinar	ry record			
Youth and/or inexperience of player	r - Reg 19.11.10(c)	Conduct prior to	and at hearing - Reg	19.11.10(d)			
The Player is 24 years old N/A							



Remorse and timing of Remorse - Reg 19.11.10(e)	Other off-field mitigation - Reg 19.11.10(f)				
The Player apologised to Finn Smith after the game					
Number of weeks deducted: 3					

Summary of reason for number of weeks deducted:
Given the Player's previous disciplinary record, his admission of guilt and his obvious remorse the Panel were content that he should receive the maximum 50% mitigation
Additional Relevant Off-Field Aggravating Factors - RFU Regulation 19.11.13
Player's status as an offender of the laws of the game - Reg 19.11.13 (a)
N/A
Need for deterrent to combat a pattern of offending - Reg 19.11.13(b)
N/A
Any other off-field aggravating factor that the disciplinary panel considers relevant and appropriate - (including poor conduct prior to or at the hearing) Reg 19.11.13 (c)
None

Number of additional weeks:



#### Games for meaningful sanctions:

The Player will be unavailable for the following matches:

19th November – vs Gloucester 26th November - vs Newcastle 2nd December – vs Bath

Should the Player successfully complete the World Rugby Coaching Intervention Plan, the sanction will be reduced by one week and, as such, the Player will be available for the match on 2 December 2023.

#### Sanction

**NOTE:** PLAYER ORDERED OFF ARE PROVISIONALLY SUSPENDED PENDING THE HEARING OF THEIR CASE, SUCH SUSPENSION SHOULD BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION WHEN SANCTIONING

Total sanction	3 weeks	Sending off sufficient		
Sanction commences	14/11/2023			
Sanctions concludes	4/12/2023 (or 27/11/2023 if World Rugby CIP completed)			
Free to play	5/12/2023 (or 28/11/2023 if World Rugby CIP completed)			
Final date to lodge appeal	17/11/2023			
Costs (please refer to Reg 19, Appendix 3 for full cost details)	£250			

Signature (JO or Chairman)	Matthew Weaver KC	Date	16/11/2023
-------------------------------	-------------------	------	------------

NOTE: YOU HAVE THE RIGHT OF APPEAL AGAINST THIS DECISION AS SET OUT IN REGULATION 19.12 OF THE DISCIPLINARY REGULATIONS. YOUR ATTENTION IS SPECIFICALLY DRAWN TO THE TIME LIMIT AND DIRECTIONS/REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO AN APPEAL SET OUT IN REGULATION 19.12.9

ANY PERSON SUSPENDED UNDER THESE REGULATIONS IS REMINDED THAT UNDER RFU REGULATION 19.11.16 THE SUSPENDED PERSON MAY NOT PLAY THE GAME (OR ANY FORM THEREOF) OR BE INVOLVED IN ANY ON-FIELD MATCH DAY ACTIVITIES ANYWHERE WHICH INCLUDES (BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO) ACTING AS WATER CARRIER/RUNNING ON A TEE ETC

