RFU SHORT JUDGMENT FORM



Match	Leeds Tykes	Vs	Cambridge
Club's Level	Level 3	Competition	National League One
Date of Match	02/04/2022	Match Venue	Leeds

Particulars of Offence				
Player's Surname	Callard	Date of Birth	01/01/1966	
Forename(s)	Jon	Plea	Admitted Not Admitted 🗸	
Club name	Leeds Tykes	RFU ID No.	114140	
Type of Offence	Conduct prejudicial contrary to rule 5.12			
Law 9 Offence				
Sanction	8 weeks			

Hearing Details					
Hearing Date	14/04/2022	Hearing venue	Remote		
Chairmen/SJO	Sean Enright	Panel Member 1	Mitchell Read		
Panel Member 2	Veryan Boscawen	Panel Secretary	Rebecca Morgan		
Appearance Player	Yes No	Appearance Club	Yes No		

Player's Representative(s):	Other attendees:
	Andrew Ashwell Assistant Referee, Witness Kieron Henry Assistant Referee, Witness Angus Hetherington RFU Legal Counsel Josh Pieterse, RFU Discipline (observing)

List of documents/materials provided to player in advance of hearing:

RFU bundle comprising of statement of charge, witness statment from referee and both assistant referees. Letter from Mike Priestley and Dr Tim Miller. Statement of Mr Callard and character statement of Mike Bidgood.



Summary of Essential Elements of Citing/Referee/s Report/Footage

This matter arose out of the match between Leeds Tykes and Cambridge on 2 April 2022. This was a match lost by Leeds. Mr Callard was and is the Head Coach of Leeds. It was common ground between Mr Callard and the witness that he was disappointed with a number of refereeing decisions and remonstrated with the AR's at the end of the game on the pitch. He then approached the AR's at the entrance to their changing room where there was a further brief exchange.

Andrew Ashwell told us that after the match he and the other AR were walking off when Mr Callard approached screaming 'you're fucking useless and other things I could not make out.'

He told us that when they reached the changing room door Mr Callard approached again and 'came right up to me and said 'have you got something to say now?' he was asked to move away twice before he did so. His demeanor was aggressive. When cross examined he said 'he came right up into my personal space and was aggressive.'

Mr Kieron Henry the other AR told us that whilst walking off the pitch with his colleague Mr Callard approached and said 'you're fucking useless I'm sick of you all.' The AR said Mr Callard walked away, followed Mr Ashwell and berated him but could not hear what he said.

Mr Henry relayed the second incident that took place at the door of the changing room reserved for match officials. Mr Callard came up behind them and said 'I want to talk you you right now.' He was coming into their changing room and Mr Henry blocked him.

A video clip of the incident outside the changing room was shown to us and we thought it provided strong support for the evidence of both ARs. It was evident that Mr Callard had approached too close and that his presence at and around the door of the match officials was unwelcome.

Both AR's relayed a further encounter later in the bar when Mr Callard came up to them and shook hands and said words the effect of 'Alls fair in love and war.' The tenor of their statements and evidence is that this did not amount to an apology.



None Control of the C		Essential Elements of	Other Evidence ((e.g. medical report	s)	
	None					
	140110					



Summary of Player's Evidence

Mr Callard gave evidence that he was very unhappy with what he perceived as failures by the ARs during the game. After the game he approached the ARs and was 'a bit angry.' He was aggrieved' by what had happened. He readily accepted that his conduct was 'inappropriate.' He denied called the AR's 'fucking useless' but he asserted the response of the AR's was dismissive and one was overbearing to him. He agreed that he had said 'I am sick and tired of you lot.' He also accepted that had sworn during this encounter.

As to the incident in the tunnel he denied being aggressive or intending to be aggressive. We heard character evidence from Mr Callard and Mr Bidgood. The effect of which was that he had enjoyed a long and distinguished association with the game and had an unblemished record in respect of discipline. We accepted all that he said and were left with the feeling that Mr Callard regretted his conduct on the day in question.



Findings of Fact

We found the evidence of each AR to be clear and internally consistent and consistent with each other. We could think of no reason why they might misremember or make up their accounts. We found that Mr Callard was very angry when he left the pitch and that the conduct alleged on the pitch and the changing room door amounted to conduct prejudicial to the interests of the Union and to the Game.

This is a charge contrary to rule 5.12 and therefore the penalty is at large. However, in accordance with current practice we had regard to the sanction table and to other decisions handed down by RFU panels.

We considered Mr Callard's previous character as mitigation but this was outweighed by the fact that he is a mature individual who has leadership responsibilities and knows the ethos of the game.

In the circumstances we felt that a ban of 8 weeks was necessary to meet the gravity of the offending. There are four weeks remaining of this season. The ban starts with immediate effect and will resume for the first four weeks of next season.

The terms of the ban are: that he may not attend any Leeds games save as a spectator without the access to the players and playing arena enjoyed by managerial and coaching staff. He may not coach or manage on match days, nor may be in contact with the Coaching Team via radio or phone to assist in coaching. In effect, he may attend only in the same capacity as a paying spectator would.



		Decision	
Breach admitted	Proven	Not Proven	Other Disposal (please state below)

SANCTIONING PROCESS



Assessment of Seriousness						
Assessment of intent - Ref 19.11.8						
PLEASE TICK APPROPRIATE BOX	19.11.8(a) Intentional		19.11.8(b) Reckless			
Reasons for finding as to intent:						
Nature of actions - Reg 19.11.8(c)						



Existence of provocation - Reg 19.11.8(d)
Whether player retaliated - Reg 19.11.8(e)
Self-defence - Reg 19.11.8(f)
ben defence they 19.11.0(1)
Effect on victim - Reg 19.11.8(g)
Effect on match - Reg 19.11.8(h)
Vulnerability of victim - Reg 19.11.8(i)
Level of participation/premeditation - Reg 19.11.8(j)
Conduct completed/attempted - Reg 19.11.8(k)



Other features of player's conduct - Reg 19.11.8(l)						
Assessment of Seriousness Continued						
Entry point						
<u>Low-end</u>	<u>Weeks</u>	<u>Mid-range</u>	<u>Weeks</u>	<u>Top-end*</u>	<u>Weeks</u>	
and the max In makin	kimum sanction g the above ass ut in Appendix	n and provide the sessment, the Pan 5 to Regulation 1	reasons for select el should conside	rry point between the control of this entry point of the RFU Practice of the should be given and the control of	nt, below. e Note	
Reasons for selectin	g entry point:					
	Releva	nt Off-Field Mitga	ting Factors - Reg :	19.11.10		
Acknowledgment of & timing - Reg 19.11.		n of foul Play	Player's disciplin	ary record - Reg 19	.11.10(b)	
Youth and/or inexpo		r - Reg 19.11.10(c)	Conduct prior to	and at hearing - Re	g 19.11.10(d)	



Remorse and timing of Remorse - Reg 19.11.10(e)	Other off-field mitigation - Reg 19.11.10(f)
Number of weeks deducted:	
Summary of reason for number of weeks deducted:	
Additional Relevant Off-Field Aggray	ating Factors - RFU Regulation 19.11.13
Player's status as an offender of the laws of the game -	
Not applicable	
The application	
Need for deterrent to combat a pattern of offending - I	Reg 19.11.13(b)
Not applicable	
Any other off-field aggravating factor that the discipli - (including poor conduct prior to or at the hearing) R	
Not applicable	

Number of additional weeks: 0



Games for meaningful sanctions:

Apr 16 - Plymouth Albion (H)

Apr 22 - Darlington Mowden Park (A)

Apr 30 - Taunton (A)

7 May - Cinderford (H) - rescheduled

Plus 4 additional pre-season or league games to be confirmed once the next season's playing schedule is known.

25/08/22: The four additional games to be included are as follows:-

20/08 v Esher (pre-season)

26/08 v Rotherham (pre-season)

27/08 v Bath v Coventry (pre-season)

02/09 v Jersey Reds (pre-season)

Sanction

NOTE: PLAYER ORDERED OFF ARE PROVISIONALLY SUSPENDED PENDING THE HEARING OF THEIR CASE, SUCH SUSPENSION SHOULD BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION WHEN SANCTIONING

Total sanction	8 weeks	Sending off sufficient
Sanction commences	12/04/2022	
Sanctions concludes	02/09/2022	
Free to play	03/09/2022	
Final date to lodge appeal	03/05/2022	
Costs (please refer to Reg 19, Appendix 3 for full cost details)	£200	

NOTE: YOU HAVE THE RIGHT OF APPEAL AGAINST THIS DECISION AS SET OUT IN REGULATION 19.12 OF THE DISCIPLINARY REGULATIONS. YOUR ATTENTION IS SPECIFICALLY DRAWN TO THE TIME LIMIT AND DIRECTIONS/REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO AN APPEAL SET OUT IN REGULATION 19.12.9

ANY PERSON SUSPENDED UNDER THESE REGULATIONS IS REMINDED THAT UNDER RFU
REGULATION 19.11.16 THE SUSPENDED PERSON MAY NOT PLAY THE GAME (OR ANY
FORM THEREOF) OR BE INVOLVED IN ANY ON-FIELD MATCH DAY ACTIVITIES
ANYWHERE WHICH INCLUDES (BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO) ACTING AS WATER CARRIER/
RUNNING ON A TEE ETC

